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TWO NEW POEMS BY SAPPHO

The recorded reception of Sappho begins with Herodotus. At 2.135 he documents a song (ἐν μέλεϊ) in which Sappho criticized her brother Charaxos or his mistress. A trader in Lesbian wines, he conceived a violent passion for a notorious courtesan, then a slave at Naukratis, sailed to Egypt, ransomed her at a great price, at which Sappho gave vent to her indignation in a song. Herodotus’ account is re-told, with variations and corrections by several later authors.\textsuperscript{1} Charaxos, if we may believe Ovid, took no less offense, turned back to sea, rejecting all Sappho’s assiduous advice and pious prayers. Grenfell and Hunt, in the first non-biblical papyrus published by them from Oxyrhynchus, thought they had identified part of a related poem, Sa. 5 (P. Oxy. 1231 fr. 1 i 1–12 + fr. 3) – although neither text names Charaxos, nor is it even certain that the ‘brother’ or ‘sister’ now certainly mentioned Sa. 5 are Charaxos or Sappho respectively. The very existence of Charaxos and his lover in Sappho’s poetry has been doubted by many scholars. The earliest author to mention Charaxos, after Herodotus, is Posidippus in a third century BC epigram, who describes Sappho’s poetry as showing both Charaxos and girlfriend (there already called Doricha) in a benign light, notwithstanding an element of irony, which is as uncertain as it is untrustworthy; then Ovid. Her. 15.17–18 Charaxus / frater. We quite simply have had no clue, up until now, as to the kind of information, or its source, that could have given rise to Herodotus’ story in a way that his fifth century Athenian audience might have found credible.

A newly uncovered papyrus\textsuperscript{2} changes that, offering parts of two new poems by Sappho – one that mentions prominently Charaxos and his trading at sea, barely overlapping with P. Oxy. 2289 fr. 5,\textsuperscript{3} and another that is an address to Aphrodite employing tropes familiar from Sappho’s love poetry elsewhere, substantially overlapping with, and supplying more of P. Oxy. 1231 fr. 16 (Sappho fr. 26 Voigt).\textsuperscript{4} Both poems clearly come from Sappho’s first book, where they stood in close proximity with Sa. 5 and 15. In what follows I refer to the first as the Brothers Poem, and the second as the Kypris Poem in shorthand designations for poems which as yet have no fragment numbers assigned to them in any edition.

The Papyrus

A large fragment (176 × 111 mm) with the better part of the upper portion of a single column from a papyrus roll, written along the fibers, containing parts of two poems (20 and 9 lines respectively) in Sapphics in the Aeolic dialect. Top margin survives to a height of 31 mm, left margin to c.5 mm, right margin to c.5 mm. No bottom margin is visible. Twenty-two lines are preserved in their entire length. Seven lines at the bottom lack three to six letters from the beginnings and ends of lines; of the last line there are only negligible traces. The text is arranged in characteristic Sapphic stanzas consisting of four lines each, the fourth line (adonaean) being notably shorter than the first three. Column drift to the left at the left margin (‘Maas’ Law’) is present in both the upper and lower halves of the column. The papyrus is written in a formal round hand with informal connection of the third century AD. Corrections are both by the main scribe and by a similar contemporary hand, who probably added the accents.

\textsuperscript{1} Strab. 17.1.33 (p. 808, 160ff. C.); Athen. 13.596b–d (disputing the name of the lover, and adducing Posidip. XVII Gow–Page = 122 Austin–Bastianini); Ovid. Her. 15.63–70, 117–20; P. Oxy. XV 1800 fr. 1.1–35 (Sa. test. 252 Voigt = Chamael. 29 3Τ CPF (vol. I 1* pp. 406–9)); Sud. ατ 334 Αἷκσος, ι τ θίδοιον, and ρ 221 Ροδώπιδος ἀνάθημα.

\textsuperscript{2} P. Sapph. Obbink (Fig. 1), now in a private collection, London. I am grateful to its anonymous owner for access to and permission to publish the papyrus and its text here. I owe a further debt of gratitude for comments and criticisms to L. Benelli, J. Hammerstaedt, R. Kassel, and J. Lidov, as well as to S. Burris and J. Fish. For reasons of space, I describe the conservation of the papyri as part of a separate, forthcoming study. Conventions of reference: Sa. 1, Alc. 1 = fragment 1 of Sappho or Alcaeus according to the edition of Voigt (unless otherwise indicated).

\textsuperscript{3} Fig. 2. Ed. pr. Lobel, in E. Lobel, ed., The Oxyrhynchus Papyri part XXI (London 1951) 2–6 at 3, 5 with plate I.

\textsuperscript{4} Fig. 3. Ed. pr. Hunt, in B. P. Grenfell and A. S. Hunt, eds., The Oxyrhynchus Papyri part X (London 1914) 20–43 at 30–3, 43. Both overlaps were observed by Professor Burris.
For parallels see P. Oxy. III 412, no. 23a in C. Roberts, *Greek Literary Hands* (Oxford 1956), containing Julius Africanus’ *Kestoi*, which was not composed before 227 AD, and having a document of 275–6 on the back; Dura Parchment 24 in Roberts, *Greek Literary Hands* no. 21b, containing Tatian, *Diatessaron*, datable between 172 and 256 AD.

The handwriting (as well as format and line-spacing) is identical with P. GC. inv. 105 (see note 6 below). A kollesis is visible running along the right edge of the papyrus, so that it cannot have formed part of the same sheet as P. GC. inv. 105 frr. 2–3 (containing Sa. 16–17, perhaps 18 and an unknown poem, and Sa. 5), but is likely to have come from a sheet that stood directly either before or after this sheet. Occasionally, in places, ink-traces are obscured by spots of adherent material that appears to be light-brown gesso or silt, specs of which are also to be seen on the back. The top portion of the column was detached horizontally (perhaps by ancient damage?), but has been reattached in modern times. On the back, there is evidence of ancient repair along vertical stress-lines that in places have stretched the fibre-structure, with resulting distortion of alignment of ink-strokes on the front along this vertical band. The roll was apparently damaged in ancient times (torn vertically up the middle from the bottom of the column, just to left of center) and repaired in antiquity with thin strengthening strips of papyrus glued horizontally and vertically.5

The scribe marks punctuation occasionally by space, more often by middle or raised point, sometimes placed after writing of the text, but more often at the time of writing. An organic diaeresis is written in line 1 ἀλαλαί, which specifies the disyllabic division necessary for the meter, and helps to exclude ἀλαλαί, but does not clarify whether ἀλα(α) ἄι or ἀλαλ(α) ἄι is meant. The text is written verse for verse (the adonaean added on a separate line), with final vowels consistently elided before words beginning with a vowel, occasionally marked with an apostrophe (usually by the second hand). Iota adscript is written in 2 καὶ κοι πλέας: it is omitted in 26 if πρω is dative and not genitive (see 26n., cf. 17n. on δέρη). It is written, however, at P. GC. inv. 105 fr. 2 i 21 (Sa. 16.23), if ἐμι φτάτος has been correctly read and articulated there, and at fr. 1.2 (Sa. 9.4) ὀδραί and fr. 2 ii 11 (Sa. 17.3) ἀκτ[είδες], if these last two are datives and not nominative plurals; at fr. 2 i 12 (Sa. 16.14) γφογη and fr. 3 ii 12 (Sa. 5.3) ἐφι this adscript is uncertainly read. The scribe assimilates consonants (2 κοι μπέας, 3 κοιπαντές). Corrections have been entered by a second, different but roughly contemporary hand. Of the 2 corrections, both are certainly or arguably right; the one variant added (in 14, without cancellation) is also probably to be preferred over the first reading. There is at least one uncorrected error, apparently in 26 (λιν [ς] σοντί). Division between stanzas is marked at the left margin, where preserved, by a paragraphus after the fourth, short line (adonaean), except at end of poem, at 20, where this is replaced by a decorated (i.e. ‘forked’) paragraphus or diple obelismene (functioning as a coronis), in the shape described by E. G. Turner, *Greek Manuscripts of the Ancient World*, ed. 2 rev. by P. J. Parsons (London 1987) 12 n. 60, i.e. with a leg descending diagonally at the left from a horizontal line that might have otherwise been drawn as a paragraphus, and without any additional space between the lines. Afterwards there follow nine further verses from the beginning of a hitherto unknown poem.

Accentuation occurs three times, apparently drawn by a second hand in lighter-colored ink, sometimes crudely. Of the two clear cases of accent, at least one can best be explained as instances where the reader’s attention was being drawn to accentuation particular to the Lesbian poets.

Content

Metre, language and dialect, and references (in 1 and 8) to Charaxos (known from the ancient tradition as one of Sappho’s two elder brothers) and (in 18) to Larichos (supposedly Sappho’s younger brother) – together with overlaps with the text of at least two previously published papyri (P. Oxy. 1231 fr. 16 and 2289 fr. 5) and the link with inv. P. GC. inv. 105 – point indubitably to a poem by Sappho from her first book. Ovid (Her. 15.67–8) says that she ‘advised him extensively’, with good intentions, freely, but with pious speech:

---

me quoque, quod monui bene multa fideliter, odit; / hoc mihi libertas, hoc pia lingua dedit. In the Brothers Poem, a speaker addresses someone, criticizing this person for ‘always chattering about ‘Charaxos’ coming with a full ship’, saying further that the addressee does not heed what Zeus and the other gods know, instead of sending her to pray to Queen Hera for a safe return for Charaxos, piloting his boat, to find ‘us’ safe and sound. ‘Everything else’, s/he continues, ‘let us leave to the gods: fair weather comes of a sudden out of a great storm. Those who, favoured by Zeus, get a special helping δαίμων to release them from their troubles become completely happy and blessed.’ The poem closes with well-wishing for Larichos, that he grow up to be a settled member of the leisured, aristocratic class and so ‘release us from many sufferings’. This poem is then followed in the papyrus by another, previously unattested poem addressed to ‘Kypris’ on the subject of love, with some recognisable similarity to the theme and phrasing of Sa. 1 and several other fragments of the Lesbian poets. Although there are sufficient fragmentary and uncertain readings here to warrant proceeding with caution, enough of the content can be distilled from the remaining lines to reconstruct a poem on the subject of unrequited passion. The succession of poems shows a specific sequence, i.e. a love poem following upon a poem about the Brothers. Given the meter, both poems were presumably from book one of Sappho, all of the poems which were in the same meter (Sapphic strophe). All the poems of Sappho’s first book seem to have been about family and cult, on the one hand, together with poems about passion. Sa. 5 and the Brothers Poem contain many of these elements: cultic addresses to divinities (Nereids, Hera, Zeus; possibly Aphrodite and Dionysos), a brother’s wanderings, sisterly affection and loyalty, Sappho’s and others’ relations with him and the community, a hoped-for shift from bad times to good. The Kypris Poem, as far as we can tell, is almost exclusively concerned with love/Aphrodite, although there may be an allusion to cult in the address to Kypris. The poem may have also contained biographical details, perhaps conventional, in documenting the poet’s own feelings for someone and encounter with divinity in the past or present.

Relation to Sappho fr. 5

The similarity of content and mention of Sappho’s brothers in the Brothers Poem point to a connection with Sa. 5, where a brother and sister are mentioned but not named. The language of the Brothers poem at 7 ἐξίκεϲθαι τυίδε seems to replicate that of Sa. 5.2 τυίδ ἱκεϲθα[cf. Brothers Poem 19n.). Many scholars have thought that Sa. 5 ends after the fifth stanza at line 20, after the invocation of Kypris at lines 18–20. In P. GC. inv. 105, this comes at line 29 in its column, the last line of the fragment, which contains the greatest number of lines of any fragment of P. GC. inv. 105, and the same number of lines as the present fragment. Whether further lines followed in either column is unknown, since in both fragments the text breaks off at the bottom before end of column. Based on P. GC. inv. 105 fr. 2 col. ii and analysis of the continuity of Sa. 16, there could be 0–2 stanzas following Sa. 5 after the fragmentary end of fr. 3 col. i before the end of the original column. The present fragment cannot then be the following column – at least one column must have intervened, since a kollesis or sheet-join is visible along its far-right edge, whereas the preserved part of Sa. 5 in P. GC. inv. 105 stands at the far right edge of a sheet c.30 cms in length that contained fr. 2–3 and comprises parts of four columns. This seems reasonable, since the intervening material (right, missing part of fr. 3 ii + intercolumnium + missing column + intercolumnium) added to the width of P. Sapph. Obbink amounts to c.30 cm, the apparent length of the preceding sheet. It is again possible that the papyrus preceded P. GC. inv. 105 frs. 2–3 in the roll (although see below n. 6). If it did, it was certainly followed at least by the Kypris Poem, and then Sa. 15 (also probably about Charaxos) before P. GC. inv. 105 fr. 2 i, which begins in the middle of Sa. 16 and is followed there by Sa. 17, possibly 18 (a sequence that is also known from the copy of Sappho book 1 in P. Oxy. 1231), and finally by another poem before Sa. 5. If it did directly follow P. GC. inv. 105 frs. 2–3, at least one column intervened containing at least one poem plus the beginning of the Brothers poem – both of them together in at least seven stanzas. Since line 1 of the Brothers Poem cannot be its opening, there must have been at least one and possibly two or even three stanzas of it beginning in the preceding column. The last would bring it up to the maximum known number of stanzas in a Sapphic poem from book 1 (Sa. 1 = seven stanzas).
A connection between the Brothers Poem and Sa. 5, now known to begin with an invocation of the Nereids alone\(^6\) (it then mentions a brother, and finally invokes Kypris), is the context of strong personal and family and social and civic relations, emphasis on social and cultic behavior, civic and cosmic justice, and the threat of loss of security or safety through seafaring, in which one of or even both brothers Charaxos and Larichos are engaged. There are also a number of fragments which contain themes of sea-faring (as in Alcaeus, famous for his use of sea-faring as allegories). In the bare remains of Sa. 20, on a dangerous sea-journey, there occur elements that concur with ideas in the Brothers Poem, Sa. 5, and Sa. 15: see Sa. 20.4 τῆξας κόν έκλεα, 5 λήμνος, 6 γάς μελαίνας, 8 νοῦται, 9 μεγάλαις ἁπταί[, 12 πλέοι[, 13 τὰ φόρτι' εἰς] 7 It is at any rate clear that not all of these come from one and the same poem, whether or not any of them mentioned by name Doricha or Rhodopis or Charaxos, as the Brothers Poem does now the last. At least one of Sappho's poems, reflected in a testimonium (Athen. 10.425a = Sa. test. 203 Voigt) almost certainly named Sappho's younger brother Larichos, who is now mentioned at Brothers Poem 18, acting as a boy in the honorary capacity of a wine-pourer for the banquets of the civic elite of Mytilene. We seem to have a cycle of poems centering on the family's role in civic, social, and business life and frequently employing images of sea-faring, viticulture, and wine-trading.

The New Poems and Sappho Book 1

The two new poems instance types that can be seen as alternating in book 1 of Sappho. The book contained poems about (i) family and biography and/or cult, for adults, and (ii) unrequited passion, for adolescents, while offering a window into adult experience, since the speaker repeatedly represents herself as having experienced these things in the past.

At the end of the Brothers Poem, Sappho hopes that Larichos will grow up to become a man (18). άνηρ is a rare word in Sappho (common in Alcaeus). Apart from the τέκτονες άνδρες of Sa. 111.3, there is the god-like groom of Sa. 111.5–7, the god-like man of Sa. 31 who may be in the husband's role; Menelaus in both Sappho (Sa. 16) and Alcaeus (Alc. 42), as the good husband Helen left; men who sing a pious hymn to godlike Hector and Andromache in Sa. 44.32–4; and in Alcaeus various men who are rich, powerful, distinguished, power-hungry, hard-drinking or even wicked: that is, men of the political and symptic class, like the hard-drinking άνηρ of Alc. 72. Presumably, Larichos, who might have poured wine in the ptytaneion (Sappho fr. 203a Voigt) as a youth, should grow up to assume the status of those whom he had the honor of serving, supported by the family wealth – free to live his life as a member of the leading class.

The point is not that Larichos should survive and grow up: he should become an άνηρ in all senses. Presumably this would include aristocratic demeanor, noble marriage, transfer of wealth, and production of legitimate offspring, all of which could be threatened by Charaxos’ not arriving ‘with a full ship’ (perhaps after squandering the whole cargo on a courtesan in some port), and failing to come home with a ship laden with goods, spices and perfume, traded in kind for wine.\(^8\)

In the Brothers Poem as we have it, Sappho challenges the addressee (and by extension, her audience) to remember that Charaxos’ success and safety is in the hands of the gods and attainable (if at all) only through the correct form of prayer in song. Against this is held up universal knowledge of all the gods and the cosmos by the speaker, and the power of hymnic song, framed in the poem, to help secure Charaxos’ safety, as well as the safety and prosperity of the family or community. Perhaps Herodotus’ readers and Hellenistic scholars even imagined that, if Charaxos were innocent of any wrong-doing, he would not need

---

\(^6\) P. GC. inv. 105 fr. 3 ii 10: see S. Burris, J. Fish, and D. Obbink, New Fragments of Book 1 of Sappho, ZPE 189, 2014, 1–28 at 11, 23, thus confirming alphabetical arrangement by first-letter (Lobel, Συ xv); so also now Kypris poem 1 πος.
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Two New Poems by Sappho

Diplomatic text

Articulated and corrected text

top of column
αλλακαίπεμπτημενεκακελ[η]’ε’θαι
πολλαλα εκεθα βας αν ραν
eξικεθαιτυδεανανοντα
νααχαραζον’
καμπευρναρ , μεας ταδαλλα
πανταδαιμονες ινεπ , ριπουμεν
eυδια , α εκμεγαλαναπ . ’
αιμαπ . νται’
τονκεβοληταβασιλευοκιμπο
δαμοκεπνονεκαρη’ουγονθη
pερτσπιπκνηνοι ακαρεπελονται
και πολυλβοι’
αμμεσακέτανκεφαλ ’ναερ η
λαρ χοσκαδητον ογνηται.
καιμαλεπκολλ[η]’αν’βα υθ μια κεν
αιμαλδεθεμεν’
πω κεδητισουμενε , ο ααι ο
κυπριδε , ο [ , ] . [ ] . [ ] . [ ] . [ ]
θελωμαλίτα . κα [ ]
λονεχσθα [ ]
αλοικ . α’λεμ . α’[ ]
ρωλα εαν . ο , ε [ ]
α , α . [ ] . μ . [ ] . ερη[ ]
ι , ερ [ ]
c8 [ , ]

5 αλλακαίπεμπτημενεκακελ[η]’ε’θαι
πολλαλα εκεθα βας αν ραν
eξικεθαιτυδεανανοντα
νααχαραζον’
καμπευρναρ , μεας ταδαλλα
πανταδαιμονες ινεπ , ριπουμεν
eυδια , α εκμεγαλαναπ . ’
αιμαπ . νται’
τονκεβοληταβασιλευοκιμπο
δαμοκεπνονεκαρη’ουγονθη
pερτσπιπκνηνοι ακαρεπελονται
και πολυλβοι’
αμμεσακέτανκεφαλ ’ναερ η
λαρ χοσκαδητον ογνηται.
καιμαλεπκολλ[η]’αν’βα υθ μια κεν
αιμαλδεθεμεν’
πω κεδητισουμενε , ο ααι ο
κυπριδε , ο [ , ] . [ ] . [ ] . [ ] . [ ]
θελωμαλίτα . κα [ ]
λονεχσθα [ ]
αλοικ . α’λεμ . α’[ ]
ρωλα εαν . ο , ε [ ]
α , α . [ ] . μ . [ ] . ερη[ ]
ι , ερ [ ]
c8 [ , ]
Palaeographical Notes (to the diplomatic transcript)

2 init. ante v: horizontal at letter-top height beginning slightly into margin and connecting to top of upright of v first, tip of pointed upright followed by upper half of serifed upright as of v (but missing final on first upright) or μ, in both cases with loss of middle part in crack second, top, left and right sides of round letter (middle and bottom left occluded by adherent material): ε, θ, ω third, diagonal arcing over left at top and toward right at bottom, with vestige of low circle underneath, prima facie o, but no other o has connecting stroke at bottom right, α possible 3 δ, apostrophe just before and at the level of the apex of δ, followed by slight trace of another added again just above the apex of δ (but cf. δ in oIo earlier in this line) 6 first, pointed top of upright second, top half of upright third, top half of upright, followed by top of diagonal sloping left, then upright with serif left at top, horizontal ink at mid-level connecting to lower half of an upright, η suggested last, top of upright followed by trace at mid-level, then top of upright above base of upright 9, horizontal stroke at top-line with upright descending from right end, then, after half a letter-space, a short horizontal at top-line with dot at mid-level and short up-curving stroke ranged beneath it 10, curved back of a round letter, missing its top, followed by trace at mid-level as of mid-stroke of ε or end of down-curving top of c 11, squarish shape as though a backwards c, with a trace at mid-level between the open parts at the left side (but too small and square to be o, possibly a wide but short horizontal stroke, as of v, with its middle abraded away and now free of any ink; then upright with serified foot and horizontal stroke protruding right from top of upright, suggesting γ, but with slight overhang of horizontal to left of upright as of τ 11 α, after α two uprights, the second with a horizontal stroke across the top just slightly to the left and a little further to the right: γ or π both possible, then trace at bottom line, as of upright α, upright with ink curving right in a small circle at the top, but not closed, ρ possible, but with horizontal ink at mid-level just below, ε suggested, or perhaps a connection stroke from middle of ρ first, back of a round letter, surface obliteration, then diagonal sloping left, and above this a trace of a diagonal above the line, perhaps ω begun then cancelled or changed into α second, upright (almost touching preceding diagonal at bottom), then a slightly detached short diagonal sloping right and crossing at bottom with another diagonal sloping right at top, as though a stretched v (cf. v at end of 6) 12, round letter with horizontal stroke inside at mid-level as of ε θ, then trace in top left quadrant and trace in lower right quadrant at base-line, then right half of round letter ε θ o c (not ω) 15, two uprights, the first curving left and the second right at bottom, suggesting μ missing its middle 17 first, upright, then trace in lower right quadrant at base-line second, very top of upright with hook over left as α δ λ, with flecks of ink below, perhaps bottom of diagonal sloping upwards from left to right in lower left quadrant third, upright with slight final on top and bottom and apparently straight, not rounded vertical stroke running right from top and slightly curving down at end as of ρ if not closed at top and middle of upright, or γ 18 first, bottom half of upright second, trace of bottom of upright, trace of horizontal at mid-level on left, connected by specks to upright 19 first, right side and upper left quadrant of small bowl in upper half of letter-space, with a short horizontal stroke at the base-line directly underneath second, very short horizontal stroke at top-line in upper left quadrant, short diagonal rising from mid-level to top-line in upper right quadrant third, upright with diagonal sloping right from top, then, after a short space, ghost of upright with ink-trace at base-line 21 first, top and left side of round letter, as ε c second, arc in upper left quadrant with two thin horizontal strokes extending to right from top (the first perhaps attached to the top of the arc), then thin vertical stroke at mid-level suggesting ι, but compatible with mid-left side of wide o, followed by arc as of left side of ε θ o c, not closed at right, ostensibly with a short thin horizontal connecting from left (if not the remains of thickness of shading of the left side of round letter), and with a short slightly curved thin stroke at the topline, compatible with top of c or ε 1, after ι, high horizontal with specks of ink of decreasing size ranged beneath it in a vertical band as τ, less likely (in this hand) ζ 22 ε, after ε, curve in upper left quadrant as of ε θ o c possibly with horizontal ink attaching at mid-level, then short horizontal stroke at top-line with slight trace at the line of writing as of final on base of upright second, round letter, followed by bottom of upright, then vague vertical ink, after which apostrophe? (higher than the others, at about mid-way between the lines) in second
Translation

Brothers Poem

[...]

but you are always chattering for Charaxos to come with a full ship. Zeus and all the other gods, you know these things, I think. But it is not necessary for you to think these things,

5 but especially to send me and command to beseech Queen Hera over and over again that Charaxos may arrive, piloting his ship safe back here,
and find us safe and sound. Let us
entrust all other things to the gods:
for out of huge gales fair weather
swiftly ensues.

Of whomever the King of Olympus wishes
a divinity as helper now to turn them
from troubles, those men become happy
and richly blessed.

And if Larichos lifts up his head,
and in time becomes an established man,
we would even from our many grievous despondencies
be released forthwith.

Kypris Poem

How wouldn't anyone . . . 1
Kypris . . . 2
... might especially wish . . . 3
... you have . . . 4

25 ... me helplessly divide . . . 5
26 ... having destroyed . . . 6

Commentary

Brothers Poem

(P. Sapph. Obbink 1–20; P. Oxy. 2289 fr. 5)

Before line 1 P. Oxy. 2289 fr. 5.1–2 provides remains of two preceding
lines:

A . . . \[λα\]
B . . . \[ϲέμ\]

The continuation of these in lines 3–6 overlaps with Brothers Poem 1–4
(indicated by \(\uparrow\) in the text above). The fragment was not included by
Voigt in her edition. Hunt notes that A–B ‘appear to be written smaller
than the others’. As such, they might have been notations in an upper mar-
gin before the first lines of a column; however, given the unevenness of the
hand, which appears to be the same as the main text of 2289, and similar
line-spacing, this seems highly uncertain. In addition, the accent over the
ε of \(ϲέμ\) would imply that these lines were treated as part of the poetic
text. If so, these would be the first extant lines of the Brothers Poem and
would have stood at the bottom of the preceding column (now lost) in the present roll. In this case A will
have been the third line of a Sapphic stanza (probably missing a long and a short syllable before it), while B
is its continuation the adonaean, both within a letter or so of the beginning of the line. B might be expected
to be part of \(ϲέμ\)νοϲ: see inc. auct. Lesb. 42.11 (not in Sapphics) and Sa. 5.18 (which cannot be our passage).
However, this is not encouraged by the following trace (not reported by Hunt), a dot on the line which would
exclude ν; in addition, because of its quantity \(ϲέμ\)ν- cannot have stood as the second syllable in the line and

Fig. 2. Photo courtesy Egypt Exploration Society
it is not sufficiently far to the right to have been the third. Thus – ]éc μ [– or – ]céμ [– or – ]c’ ēμ [– should be considered.

1 άλλα: [άλλα: [ P. Oxy. 2289 fr. 5.3 (the raised point actually the first element of the diaeresis over i, as suspected already by Lobel). The conjunction άλλα(α) is to be assumed – rather than άλλα(α) – together with a sentence in a preceding stanza in which the speaker says or implies: ‘you should/could (think) x … but (instead) you are always chattering’ etc. It is uncertain whether the tone is one of reproach or coaxing or mocking. Cf. Sa. 1 where Aphrodite reproaches Sappho with her own previous words, in a way that becomes, as here, the prayer of the present poem as spoken by Sappho.

§ 348 ackbar: Derogatory, implying either a confused babbling or unharmonious chattering: Thoc. 2.142, Aristoph. Eq. 348 την νύκτα θρυλόν καὶ λαλόν, or to say repeatedly, over and over: Eur. El. 910, often with connotations of what is gossip or hearsay or commonly said: Demosth. 1.7, Pl. Phd. 65b τάν τοιούτα οἱ ποιηταὶ ἡμῖν ἢ ἐὰν θρυλοῦσιν (where it is associated with the song-like power of promulgation of belief through repetition, or of myth: Isoc. 12.237 τοῖς μοιθῶν … αἱ πάντες θρυλοῦσιν) – the point being that the saying of it is no proof of its truth. Not Homeric, nor in the other lyric poets, this is now its earliest occurrence.

Who is the second person subject being addressed (whether the same or different from the cē in 3)? While the text may be interpretable without knowing for certain, the possibilities are not unlimited. Assuming Sappho/the poet is speaking, the second person subject of θρύληϲθα might be: (i) a concerned friend/family member, possibly her mother: Sa. 98.1ff. quotes something her mother (ά γάρ με γέννα[τ - - -] said, apparently authoritatively, in the past; or (ii) Charaxos’ lover (called Rhodopis by Herodotus, but Doricha by Sappho according to Aethenaeus) or another hetaira, here criticized (Herodotus 2.135 attests that Sappho ‘railed violently’ against someone, κατεκερτόμηϲέ μην, where μην could refer to either Charaxos or to the hetaira Rhodopis his lover in a poem concerning Charaxos), (iii) the speaker’s companions or group (collectively, in the second person singular), or one of them; or (iv) reflective self-address on her own poetic discourse. Another possibility (v) is that θρύληϲθα echoes or even quotes something said by someone addressing the speaker of the poem as ‘you’, perhaps quoting Sappho’s own words, as Aphrodite does in Sa. fr. 1; for instances of other voices represented or quoted as addressing Sappho include (indirectly) Sa. 1.15–18 (Aphrodite); (directly) Sa. 1.18–24; Sa. 159 cü τε κύμως θρύλοτων Ἠρος (addressed to Sappho by Aphrodite according to Maximus of Tyre or. 18.9 (p. 162 Trapp)). Instances of Sappho speaking, directly or indirectly, to another: Sa. 1.1–5 addresses, then relates a kind of conversation directly with Aphrodite (25–8); Sa. 134 ‘I talked <with you?> in a dream, (to?) Kyprogeniae’; Sa. 95.8–13 relates a prayer addressed directly to Hermes.

(i) seems most likely, since only someone like Sappho’s mother could be expected both to share her concerns for her brother and to have the authority to direct her to go and pray to Hera. In the case of (ii), although it is fittingly derogatory, there are problems with Sappho addressing Doricha or Rhodopis at a far-way place like Naukratis. (iii) has against it that there are no references to a collectivity of companions, nor references to a chorus or dance; (iv) would entail a serious bifurcation of the poet’s personality into halves characterized as ‘you’ and ‘me’; (v) introduces the additional complication of quoted dialogue, whereas there are no indications in the text of change of speaker (presumably necessary after line 1). We at least know of Sappho’s mother Κλέϲιϲ (after whom her daughter was named) from the ancient biographical tradition, and indeed from Sappho’s own poetry (e.g. P. Oxy. 1800 fr. 1.1–35 = Chamael. 29 3T CPF (vol. I 1* pp. 406–9) = Sappho test. 252 Voigt; Suda c 107 = Sappho test. 253 Voigt). The reason why her mother herself does not go to pray to Hera may be old age (Hammerstaedt), or, because the duty was expected to be undertaken by Sappho going together with her group or companions (Benelli). Sappho may be seen to be demonstrating her mother in lightly mocking language, perhaps even her own language, as Aphrodite does to Sappho in Sa. 1.

In other words, three different scenarios might be considered:

(i) She responds to another concerned person (her mother?), saying in effect, don’t just keep saying what you want (i.e. for Charaxos to come), but send me to pray.

(ii) She responds to someone saying to her: ‘[he’s not coming back] but you are always chattering that Charaxos should come.’ She says: the gods know these things, as you should know. We should pray to them.
(iii) She counters reports of a hetaira who keeps chattering that Charaxos will or has come in the past (aorist with past time) or should come now – to her ‘with a full ship’, saying to her: don’t tell me what the gods know and what is wrong for you to think; it’s right for me to still try to save the situation … .

On the whole, the first scenario seems likeliest and in greater conformity with the evidence and Sappho’s poetry elsewhere. Sappho is engaged in gentle banter with her mother (or another family member) over the correct attitude to be taken toward her brother’s extended absence, in which she contrasts simple repeated wishing with the pious ritual act of prayer in accordance with human subordination to the gods’ wishes.

1 Χάραξον: Cf. 9. One of Sappho’s two elder brothers, according to ancient biographers: see e.g. P. Oxy. 1800 fr. 1.1–35 = Chamael. 29 3T CPF (vol. I 1st pp. 406–9) = Sappho test. 252 Voigt; Suda c 107 = Sappho test. 253 Voigt; Suda αι 334; Tz. Prol. de com. Gr. 2.8 (GCF I p. 26 Kaibel); P. Oxy. 2292 fr. 42(a) = Sappho test. 213A Voigt (commentary). See also n. 1 above.

ἐλθήν: Cf. Aesch. Choeph. 138 ἐλθεῖν δ’ Ὄρεστην δεῦρο (discussed below). Does the aorist here mean ‘came’? Or (with one-time aspect) ‘come’? (Cf. Alc. 69.3–4 α’ ἐν δυνάμειθα … / εἰς πόλιν ἐλθήν, ‘in hopes that we could enter the city’.) The former should refer to a previous, perhaps well-known event; the latter to one that at least might happen typically and more than once. In the latter case, one approach would be a non-historical translation of the infinitive so that it represents an original subjunctive or optative in indirect statement: ‘you are always chattering that Charaxos should come’, or ‘chattering “May Charaxos come”’ (or ‘chattering for Charaxos to come’, or just ‘chattering: “Charaxos come”’) – just as indirect statement: ‘you are always chattering that Charaxos should come’, or ‘chattering “May Charaxos be”’. The parallel thus tends to con

A possible parallel is Aesch. Choeph. 138–9, perhaps even re-working material from the earlier part of the Brothers Poem (prayer to Zeus?): ἐλθεῖν δ’ Ὄρεστην δεῦρο κὼν τῇ χείλει / κατεύχομαι σοι, καὶ κὼν κλύθι μου, πάτερ. These lines signal a shift in register, being the only lines in Electra’s prayer that contain nothing specific to her situation, except Orestes’ name – which is metrically identical to Charaxos here. πάτερ is here her father, but could in another context be Zeus. Both poets may independently be echoing the language of prayer for safe return. But given that Electra is praying for her brother’s return, an allusion looks at least possible, either to the present or another poem about Charaxos by Sappho: some form of κὼν τῇ χείλει (138) may be more or less similarly phrased at Sa. 20.3 τῇ χείλει κὼν ἔκλοσο (as noted by Milne, n. 7 above, 177) and also very probably at 15.7 (where I suggest that κὼν κάλοιτι τῇ χείλει may be restored). The parallel thus tends to confirm that it is Sappho who typically prays ‘may Charaxos come’. In the Brothers Poem, however, the expression ‘Charaxos come’ in line 1 is not part of a prayer (even if ἐλθήν does represent an original subjunctive), but simply a wish or a statement of what the speaker says has happened/hopes to happen, since it is contrasted in the lines that follow by the rebuke about knowledge of the gods’ ways and recommendation (instead) for pious prayer for Charaxos’ return, a model of which follows.

2 ν’ θα μή: correction of the papyrus suggested by M. L. West, cf. Ael. 346.5; articulation originally suggested by A. Henrichs): ἱςαμι[.] P. Oxy. 2289 fr. 5.4 (where the accent but not the diaeresis, necessary for the meter, is recorded by the annotator, cf. on 2). For ἐλθεῖν/ἰκεθῇ κὼν νῇ see e.g. Od. 3.71 and 9.173. Unless this refers to Charaxos’ anticipated arriving home ‘with his ship’s crew intact’ (as Odysseus e.g. notably fails to do) – or whether, for a sea-going trader in Lesbian wines, a ‘full ship’ should point to arrival back home with his ship packed with luxury goods traded successfully in kind, such as spices, grain, perfumes, and textiles. Perhaps it could apply to either or both. 7–8 έκατον ἕγοναι / νίκα seems to voice a parallel (if not identical) concern (except that there the poet goes on in 9 to include concern for the safety of the family, ‘us’, back at home). One notes the absence of τυίδε here, as is specified below in 7 and at Sa. 5.2, which could, but need not imply that the arrival is to a place other than Lesbos; however, as a shorthand characterization of what Sappho or someone else has said often in the past, the expression may be abbreviated, and τυίδε simply may be understood here.

τὰ μέγ.: ‘Such things’ i.e. referring to the gods’ general knowledge.

οἶμαι: For the parenthetic use (mainly in the first person singular) see LSJ s.v. IV.1 with examples from Il. and Od., and Aesch. Choeph. 758.
Zeus: Rarely named in Sappho (17.9 Δι’ αὐτο[ῖον, otherwise only at Sa. 1.2 and 53, in both cases serving only to designate his descendants genealogically) – although frequently named in Alcaeus, e.g.129.5 (with Hera and Dionysus) ἀντίστοιον Δία and (in nom., as here) 338.1 ὤμε μὲν ὦ Ζεὺς. With Hera in 6 we have two of the three main divinities of the pan-Lesbian sanctuary at Mesa enumerated in Sa.

17 and Alc. 129. For the absence of the third, Dionysus, see on 14.

3 ὀδὴ; ... ὠ(ساط; [two dots level with the tops of the letters]) P. Oxy. 2289 fr. 5.5. Professor Burris observes the parallels at II. 3.308 Ζεὺς μὲν ποῦ τὸ γε οἶδε καὶ ἀθάνατοι θεοὶ ἄλλοι (Priam) and Od. 15.523 ἄλλα γὰρ τὸ γε Ζεὺς οἴδε Τόλμησε, αἰθέρι ναὸν (Odysseus in disguise), Pi. fr. 94b.33, where these passages have the sense of ‘maybe’ or ‘God only knows’, as here.

4 τρόωτα: ὄψ I. 2289 fr. 5.6 (no further description, but this is clearly the αὑ τοῦ, visible even on the darkened surface of the papyrus): presumably referring to the particular things you said. Correction of the papyrus’ νοέσθαι to νόσθαι was suggested by M. L. West.

5 ἄλλα καὶ πέμπην ἔμε καὶ κέλες· Literally ‘but also to send me and bid’, presumably understanding ἔμε over again with the second infinitive, which is completed by λέεσθαι in 6. Obviously πέμπην cannot be the elaborate lyric metaphor that Pindar constructs with πέμπετασ and the Phoenician cargo at P. 2.67–8. Sappho envisions the addressee as instructing her to go, perhaps with her companions as a delegation, to pray to Hera for Charaxos’ safe return. Denniston, Greek Particles p. 3, on ἄλλα καὶ, end of paragraph, suggests that that correlation here would lead to ‘not merely necessary for you to (not) think these things, but that also you should …’, i.e. that you should do these things, too. (See also Denniston p. 21 on progressive ἄλλα, sometimes with καὶ.)

6 ἄκους Ὑπερ: Like Zeus in 2, rarely named in Sappho: the only other instance is 17.2 πότνι’ Ὑπερ; at 17.9 (in prayer to the Trinity of gods worshipped at Mesa) as the main addressee, she is named simply as εἶ, but elaborately styled as Λιολήιαν [κυδολήιαν θέου / πάντων γενέθλαιν in the full cult hymn at Alc. 129.6–7.

7 ἔποιεσθαι: Presumably we need a non-historical translation of the infinitive, representing an original subjunctive or optative in the putative prayer.

7–8 ἔποιεσθαι τυίδε σάν ἔτοιντα / νά Ἴαράξη; shows interwoven syntax (ABAB) of the two nouns and their modifiers. The content of the prescribed prayer strongly resembles Sa. 5.1–2 ὀβλάβη[ν μοι] / τὸν κατ’ ἄνοιξιν διότε τυίδ’ ἔποιεσθαι ‘Grant that my brother arrive back here unharmed’. The verb of ‘granting’ which is specified in the direct version, disappears in the indirect one. τυίδε, shared between both versions, is deictic and specific, i.e. ‘to Lesbos’ (perhaps even Mesa, the place of Hera’s worship and of putative performance of the ode). Just as in 2–3, where Charaxos is hoped to come νόιον πλήμι ‘with a full ship’, so here he is correspondingly wished to ‘arrive driving his ship safe’.

7 σάν: Cf. Alc. 401 B a.1 (on the throwing away of his shield in flight) Ἀλκος σάν. Note that the framed prayer distinguishes physical safety and well-being from becoming very rich and blessed (lines 14–15).

10 δαμώνεσσιν: here presumably unspecified gods collectively, rather than protective spirits or ‘souls’ of the dead. Cf. 14n.

11 εὔδια γὰρ ἐκ: to be preferred over εὔδια παρκέ in asyndeton. εὔδια(τι) (J. Lidov) is a powerful if common image or metaphor in Pindar (O. 1.98, P. 5.10, I. 7.38, frr. 52b.52, 109.1; cf. Solon 13.17–24; Hor. C. 1.99–12, probably echoing the present poem, as suggested by G. Hutchinson). The train of thought seems to be: ‘In all other matters, let us turn things over to the gods. For fair weather can come suddenly out of apart from a storm (either through natural causes or at the behest of gods). Furthermore, Zeus lets those he wishes have a special divine helper, to relieve them of their troubles.’

ἐκ μεγάλαν ἄρης: Cf. Sa. 20.9 μεγάλας ἄρης[ες, and Alc. 249.5 ἀνέμμῳ (Page) κατέχεν ἄρης – both passages in the context of sea-faring. Normally ἄρης are strong winds, gales, blasts, thus here χέιμων. Cf. Sa. 2.10–11 αἰ (δ’) ἄρης / μελήμα πνέουσιν, 37.2 ἄνεμοι; Alc. 249.11 ὄς κ’ ἀνέμος φέρη (Lobel). As with εὔδια earlier, this is a favorite image of Pindar and the lyric poets (Pl. I. 4.9, Bacch. 17.91, Simonides PMG 595.1, Alcm. 1.5 Dav., Anacr. PMG fr. 379b (with Bergk’s correction), Timoth. Persai 107 Hordern).
13 τῶν θεοῦ: I take τῶν to be the relative pronoun (equivalent to the indefinite ὁτίτινων, a form which never occurs), as a genitive of possession depending on δαίμων’ ... ἐπάρωγον in 14, and picked up by κινοῦ in 15. Present general conditions in Sappho all have the form ὁτίς or τις, with the use of θεὸς optional (Sa. 5.3 has it, Sa. 16a.30–1 (P. GC. inv. 105 fr. 2 ii 6–7, formerly = Sa. 26.2–3) without; at Alc. 70.8–9, quoted below, κ’ is uncertainly κ(ε) or κ(αί)). If τῶν equals ὁτίτινων, then the θεὸς in the present instance could be emended to τε, but doesn’t have to be; but if not, the sentence is left in asyndeton. (I see no way to accommodate the article τῶν; according to Hamm, Grammarik 108–9 §192, the relative can use forms of the demonstrative, but not of the article.) In Sappho sentences beginning without a conjunction are uncommon, being restricted to questions or commands (including optative of wishes and jussive subjunctive) which open hymns and prayers. Here the effect is to continue one gnomic statement (in 11–12) on with another being restricted to questions or commands (including optative of wishes and jussive subjunctive) which open hymns and prayers. Here the effect is to continue one gnomic statement (in 11–12) on with another being restricted to questions or commands (including optative of wishes and jussive subjunctive) which open hymns and prayers. Here the effect is to continue one gnomic statement (in 11–12) on with another

13 βόλλητοι ... περιώτησιν: Strikingly similar language in Alc. 70.8–9 κ’ ἀμμεῖς βόλλητ Αρεύς ἐπιτ. χῦς. [...] τρόπην.

13 βασιλεύς Ὀλύμπων: In contrast to 2, where Zeus is named, here Zeus’ identity is paraphrased in terms of cosmic genealogy. Cf. Sa. 27.12 ὀὐδάμωσι (Snell) ὀδὸς μὴγαν εἰς Ὀλυμπίον with a similar gnomic flavor. Alc. by contrast has Ὀλυμπίοι: Alc. 70.11 τῶν τις Ὀλυμπίων, 349b.1 θέσων μηδ’ ἐν’ Ὀλυμπίοις, perhaps 130b.22 Ὀλυμπίοις.

14 δαίμων: Cf. 10, though perhaps here with connotations of ‘protective spirit’ (see W. Burkert, Greek Religion, Oxford 1985, 179–81 at 180: ‘Daimon is the veiled countenance of divine activity’). Missing is the third of the triad of gods at Mesa on Lesbos (with 2 Zeus, 6 Hêra), at any rate not mentioned by name here. Only slightly more defined (but still unspecified) are Alc. 70.11 τά τις Ὀλυμπίων and 349b.1 θέσων μηδ’ ἐν’ Ὀλυμπίων.

ἐκ πόνων: i.e. such as Charaxos might experience at sea.

ἐπάρ[η]’ο’γον: Cf. Od. 11.498 etc. – the supralinear correction in m² apparently correct, rather than the noun ἐπαρήγον i.e. ἐπαρηγο公报 which the original scribe wrote. The ἦ is not cancelled, which may indicate that this was a variant which rather than a correction (cf. 5). It would be proleptic: ‘as a helper’. So also Alc. 34 is a prayer for helper-gods who save at sea; Sa. 95 and Alc. 308 (unless it was a version of the story in Homer), contain prayers to Hermes, well-known as a helper god and escort. See D. Wachsmuth, ἙΠΙΠΙΠΟΣ Ὁ ΔΑΙΜΩΝ (Berlin 1967). For the combination δαίμων ἐπαρήγος see Eur. Hec. 163–4 ποῦ δή κοιζάω; ποῦ τις θεόν ἢ δαίμων ἐπαρηγός (it is not clear whether this means, disjunctively, ‘a god or a daimon that helps’ or rather ‘a divinity directly or indirectly to be an ἐπαρηγός’; ἐπαρηγός may apply to both, as it is often translated, or be restricted to δαίμων in apposition, or as an epithet). It is possible that Euripides here echoes the present passage, but could rather be simply employing standard phraseology, i.e the typical language of prayer for safe return. M. L. West suggests emending to ἐπί θηρόν, ‘to turn their fortune to the better’, but this requires understanding δαίμων’ in a radically different sense from 10.

15 κινοῦ: sc. those mortals assigned a special guardian divinity by Zeus (viz. 13 τῶν).

15–16 μάκαρες πέλοντες / καὶ πολύολβοι: The gnomic sequence reaches its climax in a makarismos; in connection with Charaxos cf. Posidippus Epigr. XVII Gow–Page (= 122 Austin–Bastianini) (Doricha) line 8 οὐνομα σὺν μακαριστέοιν. However, as a gnomic statement in the present context it ought to be potentially applicable to all. Cf. Sa. 133.2 πολύολβον Ἀφροδίτην. The idea is expressed negatively in Sa. 63.5–6 ἐπιτε μ’ ἔχει μὴ πεδέχῃν[ν] / μὴ δέν μακάρων and Sa. 27.12–13 (quoted above on 13); cf. (probably also of divinities) Sa. 3.16 μακαρίων, 15.1 μάκαρον[α]. In the present case a collapse of the distinctions is anticipated.

17 κάμμες, αἱ κε: Parenthetical interposition of a conditional clause after introductory κάμμες is odd or emphatic. Presumably the personal pronoun κάμμες (cf. 9) is fronted, because it brings the poem back to the hic et nunc of the climactic final wish – after consideration of various stances and attitudes toward the absence of a close associate or family member, and the attendant conditions of their safe return – for what might optimally happen.
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thèse. Presumably subjunctive (parallel to 18 γένηται connected by καί), with κεφάλαιν as the Homeric ‘life’, so that the notion is ‘preserve’ or ‘save’. If 18 ἦν can refer to social status (a member of the peerage, so to speak, and ἔτοιμος, then the notion could be ‘to become a gentleman’, supported by family wealth. For the absence of iota in the subjunctive see Hamm, Grammatik §249a2. (Alternatively ἀέργη, from the previously unattested Homeric and Aeolic form ἀέργου (< αεργός), see LSJ s.v. αεργός.) For ἀέρη, cf. Sa. 111.3 ἀέρετε, Il. 10.80 κεφαλὴν ἐπαείρεσ. Eur. Τro. 98–9 κεφαλὴν / ἐπάειρε (F. Ferrari), Soph. OT 22–4 πόλεις … καλεύει κάνκακοφικαί κάρα / βυθόν ἐκ οὕς οίκα τε φοινίον κάλου (M. L. West).

tάν κεφαλὰν: ‘the head stands for the man himself’ (G. S. Kirk ad Il. 2.258 citing 18.114, 23.94, 24.276, Od. 1.1343; cf. LSJ s.v. I.3 with other Homeric passages).

18 Λάριχος: Sappho’s and Charaxos’ younger brother according to ancient testimony: Athen. 10.425a (Sa. test. 203 Voigt) Σαπφώ τε ἢ καλὴ πολλαχοῦ λάριχον τὸν ἀδελφὸν ἐπαείρει ὡς ὀἰνοχοοῦντα ἐν τῷ προστανείῳ τοῖς Μυτιληναῖοις, ‘The lovely Sappho often praises her brother Larichos because he poured the wine for the Mytileneans in the town-hall’. One mention of Larichos may have come in Sa. 141, a description of a divine wedding with Hermes as wine-pourer (quoted by Athenaeus just below at 10.425d): ‘There a bowl of ambrosia had been mixed, and Hermes took the jug and poured the wine for the gods … They [subject uncertain] all held drinking-cups, and they offered libations and prayed for all manner of blessings on the bridegroom.’ Cf. Sa. 2.16 ὀἰνοχαῖον; Eust. ad Il. 1205.18ff.; schol. T Il. 20.234 ἠθος γάρ ἦν, ὡς καί Σαπφώ φησὶ, νέους εὐγενεῖς εὐπρεπεῖς ὀἰνοχοεῖν, ‘For it was the custom, as Sappho says, for handsome young noblemen to pour the wine’. Young Larichos would have been deprived of his patrimony if Charaxos, while trading Lesbian wine at Naukratis, had squandered the family’s fortune on Rhodopis/Doricha, or for other reasons failed to return to Lesbos ‘with a full ship’ (2). Although there is no other testimony to this effect with reference to Larichos, it is at least possible that the younger brother’s diminished prospects are alluded to here (or that this was inferred by ancient readers and scholars). Presumably his identity was familiarly known to an original audience: Athenaeus loc. cit. above says that Sappho praised her brother Larichos ‘often’ (πολλαχοῦ).

19–20 μῦλ’ ἐκ παλάλην ἐν βαροθυμίαν κεν / ἀώνια λύθειμεν: Similarly Sa. 1.25–6 χαλέπαν δὲ λύσων / ἐκ μερίμνας, where she speaks (as here) about herself and her (or her family’s) sufferings. λύθειμεν recalls some of the language of Sa. 5.5 δέοντα δὲ πρόθεον ὀμβροτε πάντα λύσων (1 = with a slight change Sa. 15.5 [δέοντα δὲ πρόθεον ὀμβρατο κηφίσα λύσων?], where it is Charaxos who is concerned, just as 7 δεῖκεσθαι τυίδε above parallels Sa. 5.2 τυίδε ἱκέαθοι). Luca Benelli observes the important parallel between βαροθυμίαν and the ‘Old Age Poem’ (P. Köln XI 429.2) line 5 βάρων δὲ μ’ ὀ [θ]ύμος (although the sense is not the same as here, as he notes).

Kypris Poem

(P. Sapph. Obbink 21–9; P. Oxy. 1231 fr. 16)

Line 1 (21) and the first word of 2 (22) are relatively readable. After that we are largely left to guesswork on uncertain traces or articulation of letters for much of the text apart from a certain word here and there. Reconstruction proceeds on the hypothesis of (i) an address (or quoted address) to Kypris in 2 (22); (ii) narration of a past encounter with a lover due to Aphrodite, involving (iii) articulation of the experience of desire. Details, together with elaboration in a simile or metaphor with images of helplessness and destructive desire, depend on the soundness of proposed restorations. In addition, there is the possible, if not certain, overlap at 1 (21)–8 (28) with P. Oxy. X 1231 fr. 16.1–8 (= Sa. 26), in a narrow strip down the centre of the present column, where there seem to be too many coincidences over too many lines in the vertical strip to avoid concluding that they are the same poem, and without obvious counter-indications or contradictions (the failed attempt to match lines 2–4 with Etym. Magn. 449.34 not withstanding (see P. GC. inv. 105 fr. 2 ii 6–8 in Burris, Fish, and Obbink 2014, note 6 above, 9, 18): as previously reconstructed, the line is too long, the alignment wrong, and the quotation actually lacks the essential letters πα[ after μάλιστα
A succession of short single-syllable words is not uncommon in Sappho: e.g. in book 1, Sa. 27.4 θάμ
P. Oxy. 1231 fr. 16.1 (Sa. 26.1) cited above (if different from the present text), and cf. Alc. 358.5
tried here: e.g. apparently deliberately abnormal word order. Metrically, we could as well have
seems to be normal word-order in questions, especially if neither
and Anacr. PMG fr. 358.1 that trope, by re-
be seen doing in Sa. 1 and elsewhere in her poems. The present instance may be seen as a working out of
'frequently' or 'repeatedly' on more than one occasion (i.e. previous to the present one) is what Sappho may
to Aphrodite) may, of course, simply mean over and over again on any single occasion; but experiencing it
while showing the speaker helplessly falling in love yet again, as e.g. in Sa. 1, Ibycus PMG fr. 287.1
as an exemplum and argument for the way in which Aphrodite can surely be expected to help yet again,

ἀϲάω whole, not an impossible

extensions and alternative schemes of restoration may be
uncertainty of traces in many cases, and the tentative
reconstructions) of Sa. fr. 26 Voigt. However, given the
Kypris Poem now supplies more (and corrects previous
papyri), and so which now supplies and
confirms letters here and there (see notes). As such, the
Kypris Poem now supplies more (and corrects previous
reconstructions) of Sa. fr. 26 Voigt. However, given the
uncertainty of traces in many cases, and the tentative
nature of many of the suggestions below, other articula-
tions and alternative schemes of restoration may be
contemplated.

1 (21) πῶϲ κε δή τις οὖ θαμε, [ P. Oxy. 1231 fr. 16.1 (θαμέοϲ) Hunt: θαμέοϲ Diehl1, see Benelli, Sappho-
studien, forthcoming). Reading ωϲ here after θαμε would require the ω to be rather wide (but
not wider than e.g. the first ω in this line), and having
against it only that the trace interpretable as its right side
extends rather far down vertically (rather than angle-
shaped as elsewhere), and could be taken as υ; reading
c requires assuming that the apparent thin horizontal
stroke (running into a somewhat thicker curving stroke
at mid-level) is the only surviving remnant of the thick-
ness of shading of the vertical back of c – but on the
whole, not an impossible fit. This would then resolve as
πῶϲ κε δή τις οὖ θαμεοϲ. For θαμεοϲ see Sa. ‘Old Age
Poem’ (P. Köln XI 429.2) line 7 στεναχίϲδω θαμεοϲ, P. Oxy. 1231 fr. 16.1 (Sa. 26.1) cited above (if different from the present text), and cf. Alc. 358.5 θάμα, 72.6
θάμ’, 306 (13) i col. II.16 πόλλαϲ τε καὶ θαμοϲ. (Although not exampled in Sappho, elided θάμ(α) could be
tried here: e.g. θάμ’ ἐπιλάϲϲατο, but is an even greater difficult fit for πιλ, and the compound is unattested.)
A succession of short single-syllable words is not uncommon in Sappho: e.g. in book 1, Sa. 27.4 [ . . . ] καὶ
gάρ δὴ κώ πᾶϲ ιτιϲ – although the extent and word-order in the present case is baffling, in what is also
the beginning of a poem. Other articulations may be sought, but attempts to extract e.g. πῶϲ (ἐ)ϲκε οὐ
(ἐ)ϲκε lead nowhere. For δὴ see 2n. δή τιϲ, at least, is the usual order for questions (Denniston, Greek
Particles p. 212 (6),(i),(b) (where the speaker has someone in mind, but keeps it to himself). A search of the
TLG (1 December 2013), however, shows an additional oddity with regard to the position of the negative,
κε (or ἄν) δὴ is common from Homer on, but οὐ, when it appears, always precedes; for an example with τιϲ
see II. 10.204–5 ὃ φίλοϲ ὦϲ ἵνα δὴ τιϲ ἀνήρ πεπίθοιθ’ ἐω αὐτοϲ / θυμωϲ; cf. Od. 18.414, 22.132, i.e. this
seems to be normal word-order in questions, especially if neither οὐ nor κε is adjacent to the verb. Thus an
apparently deliberately abnormal word order. Metrically, we could as well have had πῶϲ τιϲ οὖ κε δή. The
effect (of postponing οὐ) would be presumably to throw emphasis on οὖ, and perhaps also θαμεοϲ: ‘How
could one not do it frequently?’ Assuming θαμεοϲ, the experiencing of anguish of desire (in an address
to Aphrodite) may, of course, simply mean over and over again on any single occasion; but experiencing it
‘frequently’ or ‘repeatedly’ on more than one occasion (i.e. previous to the present one) is what Sappho may
be seen doing in Sa. 1 and elsewhere in her poems. The present instance may be seen as a working out of
that trope, by reflecting descriptively (as in Sa. 1) on an important previous occasion in which she did so,
as an exemplum and argument for the way in which Aphrodite can surely be expected to help yet again,
while showing the speaker helplessly falling in love yet again, as e.g. in Sa. 1, Ibycus PMG fr. 287.1 αὐϲε
and Anacr. PMG fr. 358.1 δήνηϲ.

άϲαϲιϲ: Very likely ἄϲαϲιϲ as proposed by Benelli, noting good Lesbian parallels for the verb (from
ἀϲαϲω, with short alpha, not ἄϲω): Sa. 3.7 ἄϲαϲιϲ, and the root: Sa. 1.3 ἄϲαϲιϲ (there associated with, if not
inseparable from, ὄνωϲιϲ), referring to ‘heartache and anguish’ (Page); cf. 68a.4 ἄϲαϲιϲ? (Diehl1); Alc. 39a.11
ἄϲαϲιϲ. D. A. Campbell (Greek Lyric Poetry, 2nd ed. Bristol 1982, ad Sa. 1.3) notes that they refer to physical
distress in the medical writers (see LSJ s.v. ἄκω). Apparently not restricted to females: see also Sa. 103.8 ἀκαροὶ; 91 ἀκαροτέρας, cf. ἄκα (Attic ἄκα); Cat. 73.5 ut mihi, quem nemo grauius nec acerbius urget / quam modo qui (quae Birt, Otto Skutsch).

2 (22) κυπριδε: Possible articulations include Κύπρι δε- (Sa. 2.13, 5.18?, 15.9), Κύπρι’ id- (for the elision cf. Sa. 1.20 Ψύπρ-, and Κύπριδ- ε- (cf. inc. auct. Lesb. 42.7 -π.LinearLayoutω ΚύπLinearLayoutδ[LinearLayoutο[LinearLayout, but Sa. test. 200 Voigt ΚύπLinearLayoutν). An address to Kypris (= Aphrodite), a favorite in the poems of book 1 of Sappho, looks likely, with weak pauses before and after. Thus line 1 begins with τίς in an independent clause, then becomes implied direct discourse addressed to Aphrodite, in advance of the speaker’s – first-person revelation of herself in line 5 (35) with μ’. In this case the τίς-figure in 1 would be a stand-in for ‘Sappho’ as speaker of the poem.

After κυπρίδε almost everything is variable, and various readings and articulations may be considered, e.g.: (i) Κύπρι, δέρκεθ’ (Burris: ‘How indeed would anyone not be repeatedly disturbed, Cypris, to see …’). This allows the meter to continue as in 1231 (see next note). However, it would also require the θ to have been especially wide (perhaps with its mid-stroke extended like the one in 4 (24) ευθήθα, or to have had a letter or two, perhaps ο or αι, written after the θ, with the apostrophe above intended as a replacement in correction); ρ would be missing the top of its upright where the cap should attach to it, and κ would be almost completely swallowed up in the overlap of layers in the ancient repair join. It also requires ἄϲατοι in 1 to be completed by an epeyēgetic infinitive (where a participle might have been expected). (ii) Κύπρι, δέρκοντ’, which suits spacing and traces best: cf. Pi. fr. 122.18 ο Κύπρου δέρκοντα, fr. 80 with A. Henrichs, HSCP 80 (1976) 253–86. For the order cf. E. Med. 632.

ο . . . [ ]ττίνω[ P. Oxy. 1231 fr. 16.2 (ὁ)ττίνω(ε γ’ ρ Hunt). In the present case, the traces could be construed as ἀττιν’, which would accord with P. Oxy. 1231. ττι will have had to have been written rather narrowly (cf. τ in 10-τρόπωμεν), with the horizontal strokes virtually connected (the inward curvature in the second upright suits particularly neither τ nor π, but this seems to be due to disturbance of the surface).

, [ ]: Here η looks almost inevitable, since the scribe does not elsewhere write ει in ligature (though he does occasionally extend the mid-stroke of ε beyond its bowl so far as to virtually touch the following letter, e.g. in 19 εκ). Assuming η, Burris suggests ὁττινα δὴ φιλείη, ‘whomever one clearly loved/should love’. The σ and δ will have had to have been written rather wide, though not wider than elsewhere; however, this will also require the use of δη (a common particle in the Lesbian poets: eleven times in Sappho including Sa. ‘Old Age Poem’ (P. Köln. XI 429.2) line 6, four in book 1 alone) twice in two successive lines, perhaps emphatically: for correlated δη in proximity in poetry see Denniston, Greek Particles pp. 224–5 (12), (ii).

3 (23) [ ] θέλωι: Potentially another optative, with subject 1 τις, continuing the sequence above. Thus perhaps καί θέλωι, ‘and wished/should wish’ (to do something?), unless the verse began with a relative clause: (instrumental/causal) ‘with/by which (τοι) one wished/might wish’, or (object) ‘whom (τοιν) one wished/might wish’ (to do something). Cf. Sa. 5.3 κε θέλη γένεθα; with μάλιστα: Sa. 1.17 κώττι μοι μάλιστα θέλω γένεθα.

, μάλιστα γ ’ , κα [ = κε ]: ΚύπLinearLayoutδ[LinearLayoutπ[LinearLayout. P. Oxy. 1231 fr. 16.3. Although the trace after μάλιστα could be construed as τ, enough survives compatible with τ (cf. the τ in 19 εκ πάλλαν) here to confirm the connection with P. Oxy. 1231. If correct, it would be possible to read -τ’ απα- or -τα πάλλων κάλεϲθα or κάλεϲθα, ‘to call back’, ‘to call again’ (κάλεϲθα η is ruled out by the avoidance by the Lesbian poets of a bi-syllabic word in the 9th and 10th positions in Sappho). For πάλιν see Alc. 393; κάλεϲθα: Alc. 368.1. Various other articulations include: (i) θέλωι’ ἄλλστα or -τ’ απα- (άλλλατα, neuter plural, occurs in Hom. Hymn to Hermes 168, when Hermes says to Maia that they should not sit apart, without gifts or prayers; frequently ‘inexorable’ as applied to Hades; West prints it as an emendation at Aesch. Agam. 413, where it means ‘not reachable/achievable by prayer’); (ii) μάλιστα’ απα- , κα [ = κε ].

4 (24) — ονεγήθα (P. Oxy. 1231 fr. 16.4 has nothing here but blank papyrus, because the adonnae, at this length, would not have extended far enough): Probably ἐχηϲθα, the present 2nd person singular of ἔχω, with space for the supplement preceding being uncertain (3–4 letters?) depending on how much progressive extension of the line-beginning to the left one allows under ‘Maas’ Law’. Possible supplements include e.g. κόμμαν ἐχηϲθα, ‘you have adornment’ (cf. Sa. 98a.3) or θύμαν ἐχηϲθα (cf. Sa. 86.4 ἔχωϲα θύμαν, ‘having a
include 2nd person singular

where (Scaliger: letters from the remains of offsets left when the scrap bearing its writing, by transposition, after this could be done of divided loyalty, or some more elaborate metaphor for physical division or cutting. Even less clear is how ὑμήϲ (kindly? spirit’) or ὑμῶϲ (Hammerstaedt): the verb not previously exampled in the Lesbian poets. Possible completions (or perhaps imperative); (ii) διὰϲ[...] the verb agreeing with a neuter singular noun ending in 4 (24) in ἤν; (iii) active infinitive διὰϲ[...]n (perhaps completing 4 (24) ἔχηϲθα in the sense ‘you are able’ or with νῶϲ in 5 (25)). But it is difficult to divine exactly what should be ‘divided’ or ‘cleaved’, whether the object is μ[...], i.e. of divided, potentially contradictory emotions in the speaker (as e.g. in Sa. 31), of divided loyalty, or some more elaborate metaphor for physical division or cutting. Even less clear is how this could be done ἀλεμάτωϲ, ‘in vain’, unless as a description of something Aphrodite is not responsible for, since a god’s actions could hardly be said to be ‘ineffectual’, but might be done ‘irresponsibly’ or ‘casually’.  

6 (26) [–...] ]ρω λῶϲ[...]αν : At Sa. 130.1 Ἑρος is said to be λυϲιμέληϲ, thus here perhaps ἵμέρωϲ(ή) λῶϲ[...]αντί, ‘because of desire that loosened’ or ‘destroyed (me? see next note)’. Cf. Cat. 50.16 perdidit. For ἱμερόϲ see Sa. 95.11, 96.16, 137.3 and cf. 1.26–7. The omission of the iota after ]ρω could be explained by its writing, by transposition, after λῶϲ. However, a genitive in ]ρω might be expected, since the scribe does not otherwise omit iota adscript wherever we can tell, either in this papyrus or in P. GC. inv. 105 (see intro.).

ο, ,,..., [κ:], γονωμ | P. Oxy. 1231 fr. 16.6 (the raised point to counterindicate αντιγονω?). Thus we could have Ἰμέρωϲ λῶϲ[...]αντί γόνω, ‘because of the destructive (e.g. power?) of your offspring, Desire’, or rather now that we know that λῶϲαντί precedes, it is attractive to see γόνω(α), ‘knees’ here (suggested by Professor Tsantsanoglou) as its object, thus forming part of the sequence of physical symptoms delineated in this and the preceding line (in contrast to the ‘thoughts’ of Kypris).

7 (27) [–...] ]α, α, [...], [...], e.g. παίϲ[...]α πᾶϲ? ] μ, ,,..., of: ]ποίϲ[...]α[P. Oxy. 1231 fr. 16.7, in agreement with the traces here. Then τ]ήϲ[...] (Tsantsanoglou) or ]τι or [...] ὅ μ’ το [προ[. ] ἐρηϲ[...] The traces visible above the line here are not parts of a suprascript iota after ] ἐρ, but rather the remains of offsets left when the scrap bearing ] ἐρηϲ[...] originally folded over left, was unfolded and turned back into place during conservation. The piece, now detached, may be ranged up to two or three letters from προ, or may have continued on directly from προ[ without any break.

28, [–...] ]ἐρ[...] [...], (blank): ]τι (blank) P. Oxy. 1231 fr. 16.9. The adonaean appears not to have continued in this line after the last preserved trace, where the surface is blank and undisturbed. For the adonaean,
There, several letter-spaces later, has ερυθρος, characteristic of the extended mid-stroke of Θ elsewhere is straight. One possibility is Ἡρακλείου, ‘dew’ (Burris), cf. Sa. 73a.9, 96.12 (perhaps slightly short for the space after the ρ). Or possibly a distracted Homeric epic form such as COVENEPY/-/ÂVENEPY/-/COVENEPY- (Benelli): COVENEPY[θα], for example (cf. Sa. 1.28 οὐκαὶ ἄκοι, would suit the traces and spacing.

29 This portion of the line does not survive to overlap in P. Oxy. 1231 (fr. 16.9), although the latter, several letter-spaces later, has κενέθλος (presumably κένέθλος). Traces of six further lines follow in P. Oxy. 1231 (= Sa. 26.10–15) as given below, but without the left margin preserved there or in the present papyrus here, leaving no indication whether they indeed belonged to this poem, or where a new poem began. In 30 (10) τοῦτο πάθη[ν] was suggested by Hunt ap. P. Oxy. 1231 fr. 16.10. 31 (11)–32 (12) are supplied from Apoll. Dysc. Pron. 1.51.iff. Schn. (see Voigt on Sa. 26.11–12).

The following composite implements some of the suggestions given above in a continuous text. The overlapping relationship with P. Oxy. 1231 fr. 16 is indicated as follows: (i) letters outside of any brackets are exclusively in P. Sapph. Obbink; (ii) letters inside ι (i) are read in P. Sapph. Obbink in certain or compatible agreement (as indicated) with those of P. Oxy. 1231; (iii) letters in ι (ι) are supplied from P. Oxy. 1231.

21 (1) πώς άν δέ τις ού Θεσσαλική ἀσάκτο, Κύρης, δέκαπ' οί τε[πι]ρίφις, [δ]ήκ[φ]ίτη
κοπίδε . . . [ο . . ] . . [φ . . ] . . [κενέθλος . . . . κα . .] . .[κενέθλος]
. . . . [τοῦ] . . .
25 (5) νόθος] καὶ κένεθλοι μαξαλίτα πάλιγ γάλλου,[κενέθλος]
ιμέληρου λύχνεσαντ [γον' ωμ' ε]} [κενέθλος]
. . . . [α . .] . . [κενέθλοι . . . . καθαρί] . . . [κενέθλοι]
. . . . [γ . .]
29 (9) ερτοῦν ἐφθαθαίνειν.]
. . . . . . . . .
33 (13) τοῦτο πάθη[ν] 
. . . . . . . . .

How wouldn’t anyone feel anguish repeatedly,

Kypris, Queen, and especially wish to call

back, whomever one really loves?

What sort of thoughts do you have

25 to pierce me idly with shiverings

26 because of desire which weakened the knees?

27 . . . not . .

29 . . . you, (I) wish .

30 . . . to suffer this . .

31–2 . . . but I am myself conscious of this . .
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